

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed May 31, 2007, the Examiner required restriction between claims 1-22, drawn to a cleaner and claims 23 and 24, drawn to a method of cleaning a surface. This restriction requirement is respectfully traversed.

As justification for the restriction requirement, the Examiner has averred that the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using such without heating or without initiating an exothermic reaction. Applicants believe that the claims 1-22 set forth an invention that does include heating a thermal body and initiating a thermal reaction. Therefore, Applicants believe that groups 1 and 2 should be maintained in the application and searched. Clearly a search for the subject matter of claims 1-22 would necessarily involve a search for the subject matter of claims 23 and 24. Thus, there is no burden on the Office in keeping both sets of claims in the application.

The consideration of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants provisionally elect claims 1-22, drawn to a cleaner, for prosecution in this application.

If there are any outstanding issues which the Examiner feels may be resolved by way of telephone conference, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact the undersigned to resolve these issues. Early notification of allowability is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN J. KREBS

Dated: June 26, 2007

By: /John E McGarry/

John E. McGarry, Reg. No. 22,360
MCGARRY BAIR PC
32 Market Avenue SW, Suite 500
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
616-742-3500

G0307051